### **REMARKS**

The Examiner is thanked for the thorough examination of the application. A translation of the priority document is appended to this paper. No new matter is believed to be added to the application by this Response.

## **Status Of The Claims**

Claims 1-17 are pending in the application. The Examiner has allowed claims 1-13.

### Rejections Based On You

Claims 14 and 16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Yoo (U.S Publication 2004/0129943). Claim 15 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Yoo in view of Choi (U.S. Publication 2004/0125327). Claim 17 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Yoo in view of Wong (U.S. Patent 6,545,293). Applicants traverse.

The present invention claims priority of Korean Patent application 2003-21139, which was filed in Korea on April 3, 2003. In comparison, Yoo was filed in the United States on November 13, 2003. Also, Choi was filed in the United States on September 4, 2003. As a result, Korean Patent application 2003-21139 was filed in Korea before the United States filing dates of Yoo and Choi.

Application No. 10/814,186 Amendment dated July 6, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 6, 2006

In order to perfect priority, a verified translation of Korean Patent application 2003-21139 is attached for the Examiner's convenience. You and Choi are thus removed as prior art to the present invention pursuant to MPEP 706.02(f)(1).

Therefore, the rejections over Yoo, Yoo and Choi and Yoo and Wong are removed by perfecting priority. These rejections are overcome and withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

#### **Prior Art**

The prior art cited but not utilized by the Examiner indicates the status of the conventional art that the invention supersedes. Additional remarks are accordingly not necessary.

# **Foreign Priority**

The Examiner has acknowledged foreign priority in the Office Action mailed April 6, 2006.

#### **The Drawings**

The Examiner has accepted the drawing figures in the Office Action mailed April 6, 2006.

3 EHC/REG/

Docket No.: 0630-1978P

Application No. 10/814,186 Amendment dated July 6, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 6, 2006

**Conclusion** 

The Examiner's rejections have been overcome, obviated or rendered moot. No issues

remain. The Examiner is accordingly respectfully requested to place the application in condition

for allowance and to issue a Notice of Allowability

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Robert E. Goozner, Ph.D. (Reg.

No. 42,593) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an

effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: July 6, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Res

Esther H. Chong

Registration No.: 40,953

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment: Translation Of Priority Document

4 EHC/REG/